

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bact 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/787,259	02/27/2004	Minom Azakami	Q80157	1300
23373	7590 04/04/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			RESAN, STEVAN A	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800		W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20037		1773	

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			IT V				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1:				
Office 4 - 41 - 10	10/787,259	AZAKAMI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stevan A. Resan	1773					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .						
)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition fo	•	ters, prosecution as to the me	erits is				
closed in accordance with the practice	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the I 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 20 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the sheet of the sheet	004 is/are: a) accepted or b) on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date)-948) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152 	2)				

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

There is no enablement for recording magnetic information on or reading from the volume hologram layer. The volume hologram layer does not contain magnetic material to enable this feature. The magnetic layer to which magnetic information is recorded or read is remote from the volume hologram layer (See figures laer 12) and the information is recorded or read THROUGH the volume hologram layer.[0029] of PGPUB.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicants admissions in the background of the invention and Ishimoto et al US 2002/0191234.

Applicants admit that volume holograms laid in a magnetic layer were old in the art at the time of the invention and that it was recognized that there were wear

Application/Control Number: 10/787,259

Art Unit: 1773

resistance problems when it came repeatedly in sliding contact with a magnetic head. Ishimoto et al teach that volume holograms may be made from the ingredients now claimed (See [0029]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the volume hologram to maximize durability during sliding contact with a magnetic head when covering a magnetic recording layer to which magnetic information was to be recorded and read through the volume hologram.

The examiner notes that the comparative example of the present specification uses the same HRF-800X001. However the claims are not commensurate with the examples showing improved results over the comparative example.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hayashi et al is cited for teaching the UV curing of a cationically polymerizable resin to enhance the properties of thicker protective films.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stevan A. Resan whose telephone number is 571-272-1513. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Thurs from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney, can be reached at 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 1773

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

STEVAN A. RESAN PRIMARY EXAMINER